Mr. Javed Ahmad Ghamidi vs. Ali Sina Part 27

Javed Ahmad Ghamidi and Khalid Zaheer vs. Ali Sina

Part XXI

Dear Mr Ali Sina

The world of truth and knowledge is an emotion-free world. It allows neither excessive love nor exaggerated hatred. If you are in the world of politics, literature, or even sports, your excessive emotions can be an asset for you. However, if you are in the pursuit of truth, your emotional frenzy can easily distract you from your real objective.

When you are seeking truth unemotionally, you cannot afford to make hurried statements of hatred on receiving information that is making sense even though it is running contrary to your accepted views. If you do so, you cannot bring sane people close to your way of thinking. We had communicated to you at the very outset that we base our understanding of Islam on Qur'an, which is a fully preserved text of God, and sunnah, the completely authentic religious practices of the prophet. These two sources constitute the real, reliable message of Islam. The rest of information on Islam is all debatable, because it was gathered by humans. However, in your enthusiasm to make fun of Islam, you quote hadith, refer to Mu'tazilite views, employ some Shi'ah concepts (like taqiyya), and whatever comes your way to achieve your already decided objective.

Dear Dr. Zaheer;

You raise a very valid point that to find the truth one must not fall into the quagmire of emotionalism but rather be detached and open, and accept the possibility that one may be wrong. I can't agree more. However, you must admit that it is hard for a believer to be unbiased in regards to his or her faith. This is the nature of faith. You made it clear that you love Muhammad more than your own parents. How could you be objective when someone questions his honesty, ethics, morality and even his sanity? I have been there myself. I know the difficulty that a believer faces. I wrote about my ordeals and the seven harrowing valleys that I had to cross in the wilderness of uncertainty before I could reach the city of enlightenment. Are you sure your understanding of Islam is not boggled by your love and faith? Faith is the antithesis of reason. It is interesting that you should advise me to not be emotional when you defend faith that is entirely an emotional experience. You presented no rational arguments that Islam is from God.

I think you are confusing passion with emotion. I am passionate about fighting Islam while you are emotional about it.

You say that you have based your understanding of Islam on Qur'an, and sunnah. I quoted from the Qur'an and hadith (sunnah) to prove my case against Muhammad. If I mentioned the Mu'tazelis, it was to demonstrate that Islam and rationalism do not mix and that there have been others who have tried and have failed.

As for *taqqiyah*, it is not just a Shi'ite concept. Taqiyyah (lying, misrepresenting, deceiving, hiding the truth) is very much Islamic. It was practiced by Muhammad himself. Your good self also made use of it in your debates. An example of that just happens to be your nexxt paragraph.

> The claim that Aisha, the wife of the prophet of Islam, was eighteen years old, two years after her marriage and a year before the consummation of it, is confirmed by the fact that her elder sister, Asma, who was ten years older, died at the exact age of hundred. She died in the year seventy-two Hijrah. This establishes her age at the time of migration to Madinah (Hijrah) as twenty-eight and that of Aisha as eighteen. Islam and the personalities associated with it became well known when Islam started dominating the political scene of the Arabian Peninsula . This only happened after the Hijrah. Prior to it, information was sketchy and unreliable. The only narrator who mentions her age as six at the time of marriage is the one whose memory became unreliable when he reported this information.

You argue that Aisha was 18 years old when Muhammad married her and to prove that you quote a hadith that says Aisha's sister Asma who was ten years older than her, died in the year seventy-two Hijrah at the age of 100. So when Muhammad migrated to Medina she must have been 28 years old and Aisha 18 years old.

You want me to accept this hadith as proof and overlook dozens of other hadiths narrated by Aisha herself (and others) who clearly state she was 6 years old when Muhammad married her and 9 years old when he consummated his marriage with her. In these hadiths Aisha says:

Allah's Apostle said to me, "You were shown to me twice (in my dream) before I married you. I saw an angel carrying you in a silken piece of cloth, and I said to him, 'Uncover (her),' and behold, it was you. I said (to myself), 'If this is from Allah, then it must happen.1

(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftn1)

This hadith shows that Aisha was only a toddler rapped in a cloth and carried by an angel when Muhammad had such dream about her. In other places she has said "that the Prophet married her when she was six years old and he consummated his marriage when she was nine years old."2

(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftn2) And "Allah's Apostle married me when I was six years old, and I was admitted to his house when I was nine years old."3(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftn3)

The same hadith is reported in several places, which makes is *mutawatir*, (recurrent) and therefore most likely to be true.

In one hadith Aisha narrates,

The Prophet engaged me when I was a girl of six (years). We went to Medina and stayed at the home of Bani-al-Harith bin Khazraj. Then I got ill and my hair fell down. Later on my hair grew (again) and my mother, Um Ruman, came to me while **I was playing in a swing** with some of my girl friends. She called me, and I went to her, not knowing what she wanted to do to me. **She caught me by the hand** and made me stand at the door of the house. I was breathless then, and when my breathing became Allright, **she took some water and rubbed my face and head with it**. Then she took me into the house. There in the house I saw some Ansari women who said, "Best wishes and Allah's Blessing and a good luck." Then she entrusted me to them and they prepared me (for the marriage). Unexpectedly Allah's Apostle came to me in the forenoon and my mother handed me over to him, and at that time I was a girl of nine years of age.4

(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftn4)

Please pay attention to the details of the above hadith. Aisha was playing at swing with other girls. Is this the kind of play 18 years old women play? Her mother grabbed her by the hand and rubbed her face with water. How many 18 years old women you know that require their mothers washing their faces? This hadith is also *mutawatir* ***[5]***

In another hadih she is reported saying

When the Prophet married me, my mother came to me and made me enter the house (of the Prophet) and nothing surprised me but the coming of Allah's Apostle to me in the forenoon. **[6]**

Nothing surprised her more than Muhammad coming to her in the same morning? Why this should be surprising? Isn't it because this little girl had no idea why this old man was pulling down his pants and doing things to her that she had no idea about?

In one hadith she is reported saying that when she was taken to the house of Muhammad as a bride she was nine and her dolls were with her.7

(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftn7) In another hadith she narrated:

I used to play with the dolls in the presence of the Prophet, and my girl friends also used to play with me. When Allah's Apostle used to enter (my dwelling place) they used to hide themselves, but the Prophet would call them to join and play with me. (The playing with the dolls and similar images is forbidden, but it was allowed for 'Aisha at that time, as she was a little girl, not yet reached the age of puberty.) (Fateh-al-Bari page 143, Vol.13)***[8]***

Do 18 years old women play with dolls?

Aisha has also said that she was born when her parents were already Muslims.9

(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftn9) Muhammad married Aisha only a month after the death of Khadijah at the age of 51. If Aisha was 18 at the time of her marriage she would have been 7 years old when Muhammad started his prophetic carrier at the age of 40.

You overlook all these *sahih* and *mutawatir* hadiths and cling to a haidth about Asma. Why is there a discrepancy between this hadith and a score of others that say Aisha was 6 years old when Muhammad married her? The answer is that in those days people did not have ID cards. Even my own grandmother was not sure about her age. It is only in the last seventy years that we in the Middle East have ID cards and record the exact date of our birth. Arabs did not pay attention to their birth and still do not celebrate their own birthday. When you are very old, everyone around you is younger. No one knows when you were born. Curiously, we tend to see older people much older than what they actually are. People can easily think you are 100 years old when you are only 90. It is also a trait of us humans to exaggerate and talk in hyperbole. Abu Afak, the Jewish man whom Muhammad ordered to be assassinated in Medina, was said to be 120 years old. People did not live that long in those days. So it is very likely that Asma was only 90 years old, but those around her thought she was 100. You say she was “exactly 100 years old” How did you determine that? “100” is a round figure which means very old. How can this one hadith debunk a score of hadiths that repeat Aisha was 6 years old when Muhammad married her?

Now, you must admit that the very fact that you want to make the age of Aisha older is because you know that it is despicable for an old man to have sexual feelings (let alone acting upon them) for a little child. You are embarrassed by this fact. This speaks highly of you. It shows that you are a man of integrity and honor. Unfortunately, as you well know, the majority of Muslims have no problem with the fact that Muhammad married a 6 years old child. As the result children are married to old men because they consider this shameful practice to be the sunnah.of their prophet.

Since you do not belong to these misguided Muslims, and know what they are doing is un-Islamic, will you please tell us what have you done to stop this evil practice and ban child marriages in Pakistan? Have you, or your esteemed teacher, Mr. Ghamidi, ever written against pedophilia that is so rampant in Islamic countries where it is even recognized by law? If you show us one article that either one of you has written denouncing pedophilia, I would know you are sincere. If up to this day you have remained silent in the face of this barbarity, all I can conclude is that you know the truth but you are not “truth lovers” and are playing *taqqiyah* at this moment in order to save the image of Islam because you know, how damaging is this shameful act. This makes your sin much graver. Those Muslims who think what Muhammad did with Aisha was good and dandy, can be forgiven for they are ignorant. We can't forgive you for ignorance. You know that was shameful. Despite that, you don't

leave Islam. You even try to cover up for Muhammad and lie in order to save his despicable name. Which is worse? Being ignorant or lying to cover up a crime?

> After this understanding has become evident, as a sane, truth-loving person you should stop insisting on this point. I am sure you have many other points that you can still raise against Islam. However, when you behave like a stubborn person in the wake of reasonable information, you don't allow proper academic debate to take place. If you have, let's say, a thousand things to say against Islam, and I answer one of them to the satisfaction of a reasonable, rational man, the list of objections must come down to nine-hundred-and-ninety-nine. It doesn't matter a single bit if you have a large following of emotionally charged enemies of Islam at your back. Within Muslims too we have a big number of people who hate the West and non-Muslims in the same irrational way. This world would remain a troubled place so long as individuals bearing such unreasonable attitude stay.

You maintain that after reading the story of Asma, as a "sane, truth-loving person" I should stop insisting on this point. If your argument was valid, I would have. However, in this instance your evidence is weak. The overwhelming evidence points to the fact that Aisha was 9 years old (8 year 9 months in solar years) when Muhammad took her to bed. As a sane and truth loving person, I think it is you who must admit to the fact that what Muhammad did with that little girl was improper and unholy. The problem is that millions of little girls were victimized and continue being victimized because of what that fiend did. This is the tragedy. When sane people follow an insane man, they emulate his insanity.

You accuse me of being "stubborn person in the wake of reasonable information". Do you still insist on that charge? Isn't perhaps the reverse true? If Aisha was 18 when Muhammad married her, why there are so many hadiths saying she was 6 years old, playing with dolls? Why is it that no Muslim scholar has ever denounced this practice or has challenged the authenticity of these hadiths? Why is it that only now that the world is paying attention and only for the consumption of the westerners you come up with these denials?

My maternal grandfather was over 50 when he married my grandmother at 15. He was a just man. However, this was no happy marriage. He died leaving a young wife and several toddlers behind. She could not bear the burden and died soon afterwards. My mother and her siblings grew as orphans. The stories of our people are intertwined with pain, all because of this insanity sanctioned by the despicable conduct of Muhammad. Good people do evil things because they think it is authorized by God. My grandfather was not a bad man. He simply saw nothing wrong in marrying such a young girl because he held Muhammad as his example. Your case is different. you know that it is wrong and yet, instead of coming to your senses and realizing that Muhammad was an evil man, you strive to hide the truth to make him look good.

You say that I may have many other points that I can still rise against Islam and should let go of this one because in your opinion it is already contested. Is it really?

Assuming one or a few of my claims against Islam are unfounded, would that make Islam a true religion? If I am mistaken, it only proves that I am fallible. Have I ever claimed something else? The point that escapes you is that if Islam was a true religion, it should not have a single error in it. One single error is enough to prove that it is false.

Yes, we humans should look at each other's good points and close our eyes to one another's faults. That is because we are all fallible. It is better that we watch our own faults and look for the good things in others. This principle does not apply when you want to evaluate the truth or falsehood of someone pretending to be a messenger of God. In that case you must not close your eyes but keep them wide open and try to find errors in what he says. Only if you find none, should you believe. You close your eyes to thousands of errors, absurdities and evilness in the Qur'an and cling to a few vague notions that you think are miraculous when in reality they are not. It is your wishful thinking and misunderstanding caused by your desire to believe and your religious fervor that makes you see miracles in the most asinine statements of the Qur'an.. If this is not emotionalism, then what is?

> I can't see how intelligent people can get influenced by the fact that a man has got nothing to say except negative comments against a message which is defending itself on rational grounds. Furthermore, the critic has also gone back on his policy of allowing the readers the time-space to read the message from the other side to absorb. Do you think your intelligent readers wouldn't be able to see the lack of confidence clearly appearing from the u-turn in your policy?

Did you defend Islam on rational ground? In that case please rest assured that intelligent people will accept your argument and will dismiss mine. The whole point of this exercise is to show both sides of the argument. Smart people will only agree with what is logical. If you are convinced that your arguments have been logical, I see no reason for concern. The fact of the matter is that by not publishing our debate in your site and by swiftly banning faithfreedom.org in Pakistan you give the impression that you are not that sure about the strength of your argument. You want people to believe in you while you don't seem to believe in what you say. You are sending contradictory messages. Your words claim victory, but your actions concede defeat.

So you think because I respond to you quickly, instead of waiting a week or more like you do, it shows that I lack confidence? Apart from lack of confidence I have other flaws that you kindly did not highlight. Nonetheless, my personal shortcomings do not invalidate the strength of my arguments. If you find flaws in what I say, please point them out and please tolerate my personal defects as I am not the subject of the discussion.

You didn’t make any comments on the fact that Mr Ghamidi was able to find the correct understanding in the inheritance law of Qur’an. As a truth-seeking person, you should have asked me what the problem was and how was it sorted out. You didn’t do so because, as you have stated in your last message, you have decided not believe in the Qur’an no matter what evidences are provided to support its claim. Is it a rational way of debating serious matters?

You expect me to make comments on things that you did not mention. There are mathematical errors in the law of inheritance. You say that Mr. Ghamidi knows about them. Despite that he has been able to explain them. Why you didn’t present his discoveries? You are most welcome to write back and tell us about it. If anyone can disprove any of my charges against Islam, I will withdraw that charge.

I do not know how mathematical errors can be explained. According to the Qur’an (*4:11,12- 176__)* the share of the inheritance of

Wife1/8	=	3/24
Daughters 2/3	=	16/24
Father 1/6	=	4/24
Mother1/6	=	4/24
Total	=	27/24

This is bigger than the total funds available.

Here are a few more examples:

| | | | |---|---|---| |Husband, (1/2)|=|1/2| |Brother (everything)|=|2/2| |Total|=|3/2|	| | | | |---|---|---| |Parents 1/3|=|4/12| |Wife 1/4|=|3/12| |Total|=|7/12|
| | | | |---|---|---| |Husband, (1/2)|=|3/6| |Sister (1/2)|=|3/6| |Mother (1/3)|=|2/6| |Total|=|8/6|	| | | | |---|---|---| |Husband, (1/2)|=|3/6| |Sister (1/2)|=|3/6| |Mother (1/3)|=|2/6| |Total|=|8/6|

| | | | |---|---|---| |Wife1/4|=|3/12| |Mother 1/3|=|4/12| |Sisters 2/3|=|8/12| |Total|=|15/12|	| | | | |---|---|---| |Wife1/4|=|3/12| |Mother 1/3|=|4/12| |Sisters 2/3|=|8/12| |Total|=|15/12|

There are many other scenarios where the portions do not add up, such as:

scenario		fund distributed	surplus
Only a wife:	=	1/4	3/4
Only a mother:	=	1/3	2/3
Only a daughter	=	1/2	1/2
Two daughters	=	2/3	1/3
Only a Sister	=	1/2	1/2
A mother and a sister	=	1/3 + 1/2 = 5/6	1/6
A wife and a mother	=	1/4 + 1/3 = 5/12	7/12
A sister and a wife	=	1/2 + 1/4 = 3/4	1/4

How can Mr. Ghamidi know about these errors and at the same time call the Qur’an “error-free”?

Which evidence are you talking about? You presented none. What I said in my message is that even if you could present evidence that Muhammad had access to certain secret knowledge, this still would not prove that this knowledge had come to him from God. It could have come from Satan. The reason for that is because the message of Islam is a satanic message of hate, violence and death. There is nothing godly and holy in the Qur'an and the life of Muhammad for us to conclude that he could have been a messenger of God. Nonetheless, I told you on several occasions that I will withdraw my charges against Muhammad and will shut down faithfreedom.org should you prove that there is anything out of this world and miraculous in the Qur'an. I said that despite the fact that the Qur'an is a satanic book, Satan has withheld any secret knowledge from Muhammad. There is nothing extraordinary and out of this world in that book. I showed how every one of the claims that you made about the Qur'an being miraculous was wishful thinking and false.

The message of Jesus is a message of love. The message of Muhammad is a message of hate. These two men where howling from two opposite poles. If the message of Jesus was divine, that of Muhammad must be coming from the depth of Hell. Of course I would not accept Islam as a religion of God if you show something “out of this world” in the Qur'an.

However, you did not do it. There is nothing brilliant, miraculous or out of this world in this book.

> You've mentioned that the Qur'an doesn't encourage its followers to empathize with others. I am repeating a part of a verse whose translation I sent you earlier: "And let not the enmity of a nation incline you to be unfair". (Qur'an; 5:8) I know your reaction would be to condemn it as a plagiarized concept of the Bible, because you are not trained to listen to the other side with an open mind. All you do is prepare your rebuttals when you are listening.

My dear friends: You are smart men. You know that if a person wants to deceive others he will say words that are pleasing. We all receive these emails known as Nigerian Scams where the sender salutes you in the name of God and presents himself as the wife of so and so deposed president with millions of dollars to deposit in your account so you could spend it in the name of Jesus for godly causes. Should we give these charlatans some credit because they open their letter in the name of God and speak about love and charity? Please do not expect us to be naïve. The actions of Muhammad speak louder than his words. Of course he had to say something good to fool the gullible. Those brain dead people who followed him and committed atrocities, thought he was the messenger of God. Had Muhammad not fooled them with these vacuous nice words, would they have believed in him and sacrificed their lives and wealth for him? The proof of the pudding is in eating it. Was Muhammad fair to those who did not believe in him? Is it fair to raid people in the middle of the night, slay their unarmed men and take their women and children as slaves? You are rational persons. I expect more from you. Do you really expect us to be fooled by some inane clichés that Muhammad pronounced here and there and overlook his evil deeds?

When I was a child, there was a radio show that I used to listen to. It was called "*A City within Our City*". The producer would visit the prison every week and interviewed one of the inmates. These criminals would narrate their life stories, telling how they were attracted to crime. At the end of each session the producer would ask if the criminal had any advice for the youths. These men had the best advices. I recall thinking to myself, if we only followed the advices of these criminals the world would be a paradise and no one would ever commit a crime. Words are cheap. Even Ahmadinejad, the Hitler wannabe clown president of Iran who harbors the dream of starting a new holocaust is reported to have said a lot of good words, such as "we want peace for all mankind" and other clichés such as these. Do these silly statements make this ape a man of peace?

I have already explained that the mention of killing of an individual in the Qur'an has to do with the divine punishment the Almighty inflicted on the people who denied the message of the messengers of God. It has got nothing to do with the rest of the people. The Qur'an is very clear about it. The killing of the men of a Jewish tribe during the time of the prophet took place because they had violated their terms of contract with Muslims by conspiring against them and then they themselves proposed the name of an ex-Jew who converted to Islam for deciding their fate. The proposed judge decided their fate on the basis of what was mentioned in the Torah.

What you did not explain however are: a) What is the proof that Muhammad was a true messenger of God? b) Why would a loving God want to kill those who disbelieve in him? c) Why God does not kill his deniers and asks humans to shed the blood of their kind? This is like I point a gun at you and tell you that you should surrender to me with no fight because I am a policeman and that resisting an arrest is against the law. Well, this sounds logical. However, the problem is that I have not demonstrated my credentials as police and in fact I have shown to be a thief, a murderer, an assassin and a rapist and a very evil man. Should you still believe my claim and surrender with no fight?

You start with a fallacy and build on that justifying one fallacy with another. You are convinced to be right when you have given us no proof. The very foundation of your belief is wrong and therefore every argument that you build on it is wrong.

I showed that it was not the Bani Quraiza who violated the term of the contract but it was Muhammad who broke every one of his treaties and even made his suckpuppet Allah to approve them. The sura 9 is called bara'a, precisely because in this sura Allah orders Muhammad to break all his treaties. This is a lie that Muslims have been rehashing since the time of Muhammad. The very history that their historians have recorded shows that it was Muhammad who violated his treaties and not the other way round. Nonetheless, setting an example for his followers, he always blamed his victims, accusing them of conspiring against him to justify his "preemptive" forays.

It was not the Bani Quraiza who proposed Sa'd ibn Mu'az to pass the verdict. It was Muhammad who did it and the reason is that he perfectly knew how this ruffian despised them. He knew that Islam had changed his heart and now he felt nothing but hatred for his former allies. Sa'd was the bodyguard of Muhammad. He slept in the mosque where Muhammad lived. He visited the Bani Quraiza when the confederates had surrounded Medina and upon being received coldly he had vowed to Muhammad to kill them all. Muhammad knew perfectly what the decision of Sa'd would be. Furthermore Sa'd had been fatally wounded by the Confederates and was in excruciating pain. He blamed the Bani Quriaza for it for not coming to the aid of the Muslims. His wound had closed and he was recovering. But Muhammad wanted him to pass the judgment. So he asked him to ride a donkey and make two or three miles of journey. The journey reopened his wound and increased his pain. In such a state, he was unfit to pass judgment. He died as the result of

the renewed bleeding. Muhammad got what he wanted and that fool went to hell. This fiend wanted the Jews dead, but he wanted someone else to pass this judgment so he could wash his hands and claim innocence. This is the kind of mind games and manipulations that psychopath narcissists play.

Was what Muhammad did to those people fair? It is amazing that in one breath you quote us a verse where Muhammad talks about fairness and in the other you defend something as heinous as the massacre of an entire tribe and enslavement of their wives and children without seeing the contradiction. Assuming the charges that Muhammad brought against the Bani Quraiza were true, were all the members of this tribe guilty, including the adolescents who were massacred with men? What about the women and the children who were taken as slaves and raped? Is this your standard for fairness?

We went over this before my respected scholar friends. I brought the example of the atrocities and the crimes that the Pakistani solders committed in Bangladesh and asked whether it would be justice to kill all the Pakistani men and enslave their women in retaliation for the treachery of the Pakistani army and their leaders. This is exactly what Muhammad did, despite the fact that the Bani Quraiza were not guilty of any crime. They were accused unjustly because Muhammad needed their wealth and they were a nuisance to him. One breath taken in fairness is worth a hundred years of mindless worship. Do you still insist that this man was a messenger of God?

> You are not impressed by the verse of the Qur'an that predicts most clearly that the body of Pharaoh is going to be preserved (10:92), something that happened more than thirteen hundred years after the Qur'anic prediction. The mummified body of Pharaoh in the Cairo museum is a living proof of the truthfulness of Qur'an as the word of God. No angry detractor of Islam can do anything about it. However, you had to resort to a translation that made the understanding appear less clear. I encourage your readers to take a look at the translation of the Qur'an available with them and find out for themselves whether the prediction is clear or not. And if the prediction appears clear, does it not make the information exceptionally special that an event that was to take place hundreds of years later, was predicted beforehand?

I read about this claim and all it shows is the intellectual bankruptcy of Muslims. The verse 10: 90-92 say "And We took the Children of Israel across the sea, and Pharaoh and his hosts followed them in oppression and enmity, till when drowning overtook him [Pharaoh], he said: 'I believe that there is no god but He in whom the Children of Israel have believed, and I am from the Muslims.' Now [you believe] while you have rebelled before and been among the evil-doers. So today We save you in your [dead] body, that you may be a sign to those who come after you. And verily, many among mankind are indeed heedless of Our Ayah [proofs, evidence, verses, lessons, signs, revelations, ...etc.).

Muslims then claim that according to the above verses the body of Pharaoh was not taken by the sea so that Pharaoh may become a sign to those who come after him.

The person who confirmed this charade was Dr. Bucaille who despite writing a book claiming that there are many miracles in the Qur'an, did not convert to Islam. He received a lot of money as the result from the Saudi king; and his book is sold like hot cake all over Islamic world. However, he was not that fool to believe in his own lies. Why would someone who claims that the Qur'an is a book of miracles not converts to Islam? How much this says about the sincerity of this man?

Bucaille wrote that he conducted “research” and "in June 1975, the Egyptian high authorities very kindly allowed me to examine the parts of the Pharaoh's body that had been covered until then. They also allowed me to take photographs. What may already be derived from this examination is the discovery of multiple lesions of the bones with broad lacunae, some of which may have been mortal although it is not yet possible to ascertain whether some of them occurred before or after the Pharaoh's death. He most probably died either from drowning, according to the scriptural narrations, or from very violent shocks preceding the moment when he was drowned or both at once. Those who seek among modern data for proof of the veracity of the Holy Scriptures will find a magnificent illustration of the verses of the Qur'an dealing with Pharaoh's body by visiting the Royal Mummies room of the Egyptian Museum, Cairo!"10

(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftn10)

First of all Pharaoh is not a proper name but a title, like king, president, prime minister. Which pharaoh is he talking about? Why a man who calls himself doctor, would make such a stupid mistake? It is because the Qur'an makes the exact same mistake and Bucaille is writing a book for Muslims to make them happy and to laugh at their naiveté on his way to the bank. He is not writing a book to correct the errors of the Qur'an but to validate the belief of the Muslims that it is a miracle.

Muhammad talks of pharaoh thinking that pharaoh is a proper name. The biblical fable of exodus says that the pharaoh that had enslaved the Israelites drowned in the sea along with his men. However, everyone knew that in Egypt the bodies of pharaohs were preserved. The practice of mummifying the corpses of pharaohs predated Muhammad by at least 2,000 years. Not realizing that there were many pharaohs, the illiterate prophet concluded that Allah must have saved Pharaoh’s body as a sign. A sign for what? He does not explain that. Why would Allah do such a thing? It must be because Pharaoh had submitted to Allah right before his death, our illiterate prophet assumes. Muhammad did not know that there were many pharaohs. Had he known that, he could understand that the one who was drowned was a different pharaoh than the pharaohs that are preserved. This verse was his response to this conundrum. By inventing this story, he could reconcile the Biblical fable that says pharaoh was drowned with the fact that the pharaoh's body had been preserved.

So as you seem this verse is not a miracle. It show the confusion of an ignorant man. What prediction are you talking about? Are you saying that the Arabs did not know that the corpses of pharaohs were mummified and preserved and Muhammad was the first Arab to say so? How could that be possible? Do you have any evidence to prove this absurdity?

The fables of Dr. Bucaille are no less ridiculous than those concocted by Muhammad. He says that upon examining the corps of the Pharaoh, it was clear to him that he had died of drowning (and brings the scriptures as proof) or of a shock. How can one come to such a conclusion by examining the dried up cadaver of a 4000 years old mummy? To make mummies, the Egyptians used to remove all the interior organs, including the brain. So, without the organs, how did Dr. Bucaille conclude that the pharaoh that he had examined had died of drowning? He knew that those who would read his book are not educated people and would believe in any nonsense. So he made up stories that any scientist would laugh at and those poor Muslims gobbled unquestioningly. Why Dr. Bucaille's "discovery" is not mentioned in any scientific journal and no other Egyptologist knows what he has discovered? Bucaille is not a forensic doctor to make such an autopsy and even a forensic doctor cannot determine the cause of the death of a mummy.

How did Bucaille determine that it was this particular Pharaoh that was persecuting the Israelites? And again, why there is no mention of Moses and any of the portentous calamities that the Bible says befell on Egypt in any hieroglyph discovered so far? As far as Egyptologists are concerned, Moses and his grand exodus are nothing but myths. The tales of the Bible are not corroborated by archeological finds.

> In your peculiarly nonchalant style you have dismissed the fact that the Qur'an has mentioned the possibility that the information about what lies in the wombs of mothers can be available to humans. I encourage your readers to have an independent look at the verse (31:34) and see whether the Qur'an does it or not. And if it does really mention the possibility that information about what lies in the wombs can become available to humans even though the context demanded the mention of it to be made in a different style, does it not constitute a very surprising piece of information for all those who don't believe the Qur'an to be the word of God?

I already discussed this verse as an example of the errors of Muhammad and I am surprised that you are presenting it as proof of the miracle of the Qur'an. Let me quote the verse.

Surely Allah is He with Whom is the knowledge of the hour, and He sends down the rain and He knows what is in the wombs; and no one knows what he shall earn on the morrow; and no one knows in what land he shall die; surely Allah is Knowing, Aware.

This verse is written to show that Allah knows things that no one else can possibly know such as the sex of the baby in the womb. This is confirmed in a hadith

Allah's Apostle (p.b.u.h) said, "Keys of the unseen knowledge are five which nobody knows but Allah . . . nobody knows what will happen tomorrow; nobody knows what is in the womb; nobody knows what he will gain tomorrow; nobody knows at what place he will die; and nobody knows when it will rain." [Bukhari 2, 17,149]

Now any medical doctor can determine what is in the womb and the meteorologists can predict when it will rain up to five days ahead. The only miracle I see is that intelligent men such as you are unable to see this verse is wrong and think it is a miracle.

You have also mentioned that the information regarding the fact that pearls and corals are to be found in both types of water was known to people of that time. Of course, you did so because it suited your stance, without being aware of the fact that it was not known to Muslim exegetes of even much later times. It was only recently (in the 1970s) that Mr Ghamidi's teacher, Mawlana Amin Ahsen Islahi, informed his student that he was going to write in his exegesis the fact that they were found in both waters because it has been clearly mentioned in the Qur'an (55:22) even though the contemporary knowledge of the world claims the reality be otherwise. He said so because all exegetes of the Qur'an unanimously claimed something that was going against the apparent meanings of the text. He said to his student with supreme confidence: "By God, if such an observation has not been made as yet, God, the author of the Qur'an, would make it happen in the future to prove His book's veracity." Mr. Ghamidi informed him that the Qur'anic claim was already a known reality.

The verse 55:22 says "There come forth from them pearls, both large and small." Why in your opinion this is miraculous? People have been diving searching for pearls and corals, both in sweet waters and in salty waters for thousands of years. Pearls come in various sizes. Why do you think stating something so obvious is a miracle? Are you telling us that there are Muslim clerics who are so stupid that they even argue about this point and that some of them think all pearls come in one size? Don't they know that pearls grow?

It is mind boggling the kind of verses that you pick to show that the Qur'an is miraculous. Muhammad said some pearls are large and some are small. It is a miracle. He said rain falls from the sky. It is a miracle. He said all animals come in pairs. It is a miracle. He said every living being needs water. This is a miracle. He said embryo looks like leech. It is miracle. He named bee in feminine gender (which is the only gender the name can be said in Arabic). It is a miracle. What part of these banal statements is miraculous? These silly claims prove only one thing and that is Muslims are retarded.

The other facts like the number of times the word day (yaum) has been used in the Qur'an is 365 have been rejected by you with a claim that such information was a mere coincidence which is found in many other books. This remark of yours is quite as silly as your earlier one which claimed that the Bible could be employed to show a prophecy about your arrival in this world as it did about the prophet of Islam. I thank you for sparing me from mentioning a large number of similar "coincidences" in the Qur'an because you have now given a clear indication that you are bent upon rejecting everything that is going to be presented to you to support the claim that the Qur'an is a word of God.

I have not counted the number of times “yaums” is repeated in the Arabic Qur'an, but the number of "days" repeated in the English Qur'an is 463 and not 365. (see this). All I can think is that Muslims lie. This is very normal for them to do and they actually think it is their religious duty to lie in order to make Islam look miraculous.

Nonetheless, one can find oddities in any book and this does not constitute any miracle. Apart from the fact that there are 354 days in an Islamic year and not 365, what does this prove? The only thing that would prove a book is from God is that its message must be godly. The message of the Qur'an is demonic. If the Qur'an contained some useful tips such as how to make aspirin, how to prevent contagious diseases or how the universe is made, we could have accepted it as miracle. The information in the Qur'an is absolutely useless and wrong. Assuming there are some oddities in the Qur'an why should we consider them as proof that this book is from God? If I claim to be a physician my proof should be to know how to cure. If I am completely ignorant about medicine, the fact that I can make summersault and swallow sword does not prove that I am a physician.

> I am surprised at the arrogant claims you are making on the basis of some scientific theories you have come across. The fact of the matter is that the world of scientific theories has seen so many changes that someone who believes in them as statements of ultimate truth is well advised to go through an understanding of history of scientific thought.

My respected professor: I am afraid you don’t seem to have a clue about science. Science is constantly advancing. It is expected that our understanding of it change. This does not make science invalid. It makes it living. It is like a tree. It’s never the same because it keeps growing. This is a plus, not a minus. Two years from now, the state of the art computer that you are using today would be obsolete and ten years from now you have to throw it in the dumpster. Does this mean the computer that you are using today is worthless? Tomorrow’s science will evolve and would make today’s science obsolete. This does not mean that today’s science is false and that the truth can be found elsewhere. On the other hand, we will never discover that the bogus claims made in the Qur'an are true. The fact that every day we are discovering new mysteries about our earth does not mean that one day we will find out that the Qur'an was right and the Earth is actually flat like a bed (*mehad*) or that the stars are in the lower heaven, or that sperms are generated in the backbone, as the Qur'an says.

Science is expanding and therefore our knowledge of it is relative. This does not mean that something that is absolutely false has a chance of being proven to be true one day.

You have also referred to the fact that it was wrong to claim that God sends rainfall since we now know that there was a certain sequence of events that causes rainfall: Since we know now that there is a cause-effect relationship in the phenomena of nature that results in rainfall, there is no need to assume the role of God in its happening. Your observation is quite as absurd as that of someone who would claim that it was obvious that Mr. Ali didn't write his articles himself because we now know scientifically that it was his brain that sent signals to his fingers which held his pen, which was then used by those fingers to write on the paper!

Assuming that there is a God who is moving everything in the universe, what this has to do with Muhammad, David Koreah or Joseph Kony? Every time we ask for proof of the claim of Muhammad, Muslims want to prove to us the existence of God. This is red herring. You want to distract us from the main subject. If you wish to believe in God, please do so. I have utmost respect for the believers in God. I am myself a believer in God, although I understand it in entirely different way that the religionists understand it. God has nothing to do with Allah and Muhammad. Recently I saw a few videos of Muslims who had converted to Christianity. Their testimonies were moving. What moved me was not their belief but the love that they had found. If I believe in God, it would be love. I have found God in my love for humanity. They found it in Jesus. We went through different paths, but we came to the same destination. They were empty and some of them full of rage and hate, after leaving Islam and finding Jesus they were reborn. See for yourself.. http://www.faithfreedom.org/forum/viewtopic.php?t=39980

On the other hand I saw also videos of people who converted to Islam. They have become monsters, filled with hatred who think murder of children and innocent people is acceptable. Yvonne Riddley is one such person and Joseph Cohen, (now Yusuf Khattab) is another. See for yourself http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BJLsdydjSPo

I have no problem with God and people who believe in God. I have problem with Islam because it is satanic. I call upon you and all the Muslims to leave Islam. If you don't find rationalism to your liking then choose a godly religion. Do not be a slave of Satan, for Allah is no God.

I also want to clarify that the Qur'an neither asks Muslims to hate Jews nor Christians. I have clarified this misunderstanding in detail in my article "Can Non-Muslims Be Made Friends." It is available on my site www.khalidzaheer.com. Furthermore, there is no mention in the Qur'an that the ancestors of Jews were apes and pigs. It only mentions that some of them were turned into animals as a punishment for their misdeeds. The Qur'an has warned Muslims of similar punishments if they were to go astray. (See Qur'an; 4:123) The book of God lavishly praises the pious Jews and Christians while it condemns those who were otherwise. See, for instance, Qur'an; 3:75, 3:113).

You seem to be convinced that it is perfectly okay to slay the idolaters and the fact that the Qur'an is not as harsh on the people of the Book as it is on idolaters is a great thing. Not so my learned friends. All humans have equal rights.

Furthermore, according to Muhammad, even the Jews and the Christians are to be counted amongst the polytheists because:

They believe in idols and false deities and say of those who disbelieve: They are better guided in the path than those who believe. Those are they whom Allah has cursed, and whomever Allah curses you shall not find any helper for him. 4:51-52

The Quran is full of contradictions. When Muhammad was still weak and was humbugging the Jews to accept him as another Biblical prophet, he lavished them with praises and rehashed a lot of Biblical lore. He even chose Jerusalem as his *qiblah.* In one verse he said:

Surely those who are Jews, Christians, and Sabians, whoever believes in Allah and the Last day and does good, they shall have their reward from their Lord, and there is no fear for them, nor shall they grieve. 2.62

When he realized that they were not going to buy his charade, he changed his tone. He no longer was interested to narrate Biblical stories. Instead the verses of the Qur'an became angry and despiteful of the Jews and the Christians. Here is a sample:

Abasement and humiliation were brought down upon them, [the Jews] and they became deserving of Allah's wrath; this was so because they disbelieved in the communications of Allah and killed the prophets unjustly; this was so because they disobeyed and exceeded the limits. 2:61

We said to the Jews who transgressed in the matter of the Sabbath: "Be ye apes, despised and rejected." into apes. 2:65- 66

The Jews and the Christians should be disgraced in this life and would be punished in the next world for believing in parts of their scripture and not in other parts 2:85

Allah has cursed them on account of their unbelief; 2:88

Jews are the greediest of men for life (greedier) than even those who are polytheists; 2:96

Allah is the enemy of those who reject faith 2:98

None rejects Islam except those who are perverse 2:99

Whoever disbelieves in Islam it is a loser. 2:121

Those who reject the clear proofs of Islam will be cursed by Allah and those who curse shall curse them (too). 2:159

Those who die in disbelief, on them is the curse of Allah and the angels and men all. 2:161

And they shall not come forth from the fire. 2:167

They are deaf, dumb and blind and do not understand 2:171

The Christians and Jews who conceal any part of the Book that Allah has revealed and take for it a small price, they eat nothing but fire into their bellies, and Allah will not speak to them on the day of resurrection, nor will He purify them, and they shall have a painful chastisement. 2:174

The unbelievers beckon you to fire. It is better not to marry them until they believe. 2:221

They [Christians and Jews] say: The fire shall not touch us but for a few days; and what they have forged deceives them in the matter of their religion. However they are mistaken and will not fair well in the Day of Judgment 3:24-25

Allah does not like the unbelievers 3:32 and Muslims should not take them as friends 3:28

Believe no one unless he follows your religion [Islam]. 3:73

Muslims should not take for intimate friends from among others than their own people. 3:118

And we will cast terror in the heart of the disbelievers (like the Jews in Medina , Khaibar and other places). Their adobe will be the fire 3:151

Those who ascribe a partner to Allah (like Christians who believe in trinity) will not be forgiven. They have "invented a tremendous sin." 4:48, 4:116

As for those who disbelieve in Our communications, [do not convert to Islam] We shall make them enter fire; so oft as their skins are thoroughly burned, We will change them for other skins, that they may taste the chastisement; surely Allah is Mighty, Wise. 4:56 [Yep, great wisdom]

Those who assert that they believe in what has been revealed to you and what was revealed before you, [Christians and Jews] they desire to summon one another to the judgment of Satan, though they were commanded to deny him, and Satan desires to lead them astray into a remote error. 4:60

Those who do not believe in Muhammad are “hypocrites turning away from you with (utter) aversion.” 4:61

Those who believe fight in the way of Allah, and those who disbelieve fight in the way of Satan. Fight therefore against the friends of Satan. 4:76

Jews will suffer a painful chastisement because they lend money. 4:161

Allah made a covenant with the children of Israel , ..But on account of their breaking their covenant We cursed them and made their hearts hard;… and you shall always discover

treachery in them excepting a few of them; 5:12-13

[With the] Christians, We made a covenant, but they neglected a portion of what they were reminded of, therefore We excited among them enmity and hatred to the day of resurrection. 5:14 [Nice work! And you thought God wants peace on Earth.]

In blasphemy indeed are those that say that Allah is Christ the son of Mary. 5:17

Don't take Jews and Christians for friends; they are friends of each other; and whoever amongst you takes them for a friend, then surely he is one of them. 5:51

Jews and Christians are losers 5:53

Don't take Jews and Christians as guardians who mock your religion. 5:53

Most of the Jews and Christians are transgressors. 5:59

Those who befriend those who disbelieve; certainly evil is that which their souls have sent before for them, that Allah became displeased with them and in chastisement shall they abide. 5:80

Jews called some of messengers of God liars and some they slew.5:70

Christians will go to hell for saying Jesus is God. "Surely whoever associates (others) with Allah, then Allah has forbidden to him the garden, and his abode is the fire. 5:72

Fight against Christians and Jews "until they pay the tax in acknowledgment of superiority and they are in a state of subjection." 9:29

Jews and Christians imitate the saying of those who disbelieved before; may Allah destroy them. 9:30

Islam must "prevail over all religions, however much the disbelievers are averse to it" [through force]. 9:33

Many [Jewish and Christian] doctors of law and the monks eat away the property of men falsely, and turn (them) from Allah's way; and (as for) those who hoard up gold and silver and do not spend it in Allah's way [give to Muhammad], announce to them a painful chastisement. [which was done by Muhammad] 9:34

One Day He [Allah] will say, [to Christians] "Call on those whom ye thought to be My partners," and they will call on them, but they will not listen to them; and We shall make for them a place of common perdition. 18:52

It is not befitting to (the majesty of) Allah that He should beget a son… and woe to the unbelievers because of the (coming) Judgment of a Momentous Day! 19:35-37

Allah taunt the Christians on the Day of Judgment and will say "Where are those whom you deemed to be My associates?" The Christians bring some excuses but "it will be said: Call

your associate-gods. So they will call upon them, but they will not answer them, and they shall see the punishment" 28:62

The Christians who ascribe partner to Allah "would certainly be of the losers." 39:65

There is more, but this should suffice as evidence that Muhammad hated the Jews and the Christians as much as he hated the polytheists. He hated anyone who disagreed with him. He was a narcissist and as such intolerant of rejection. Rejecting a narcissist is the biggest sin you can commit. He will kill you for that. Narcissists are psychopaths and the Qur'an is a manifesto of a psychopathic mind.

> Also, I would want to reiterate that the most significant evidence of the fact that the Qur'an was God's word and Muhammad, alaihissalaam, His prophet can be seen in the clear declaration of the Qur'an that the message of Islam that started with one individual was bound to dominate. It provided historical evidence of it that such a thing has happened many times in the past and is again destined to happen, come what may. Your response to it that it was similar to Osama's claim that he would resort to destruction of the West suggests that you don't even know what you are talking about. The Qur'an doesn't even predict, to begin with, whether the prophet would necessarily survive to see the revolution himself or not. See, for instance, Qur'an; 43:41-42). The entire book is full of this theme. No zealot enemy of Islam can stop people from appreciating this clear sign of God's prophecy in the Qur'an which materialized with unmistakable clarity.

You keep repeating this argument as if it has as big effect on you. What you say is a combination of at least three logical fallacies called *argumentum ad baculum*, *argumentum ad antiquatem* and *argumentum ad numerum.* The gist of these fallacies is as follow:

Argumentum ad baculum: (from Latin: Argument from the stick). According to this fallacy an argument that can win through force (stick) must be right. Adherents of this fallacy often resort to violence, threats and censorship to impose their irrational and unsubstantiated point of view. This argument remains unchallenged as long as the force is exercised. It relies entirely on the threat of violence or it will be defeated. Islam has been successful because it has managed to eliminate all criticisms though violence and threats. Where Muslims rule, the critics of Islam are jailed and executed and where they don't, the critics are assassinated. Muslims riot and demand respect for their belief. The assassination of Theo Van Gogue, the jailing of Muslim dissidents in Islamic countries, the riots over the speech of the Pope and the banning of Islamocritic sites such as faithfreedom.org are examples of argumentum ad baculum.

Argumentum ad antiquitatem: (appeal to antiquity). This fallacy asserts that if something has survived the test of time it must be good. This is a fallacy because it does not take into consideration that perhaps the thing that has survived has don it thanks to the use of force and by eliminating any whisper of criticism. The opposite of this fallacy, *argumentum ad novitatem*, claims that the new is better than the old.

Argumentum ad numerum **and its closely related** ***Argumentum ad populum*** **consist in asserting that if a large number of people favor something, that thing must be good. This is certainly not true because considering the silliness of humans and their penchant for fables, generally masses of people are more likely to be wrong than right. At one time everyone believed that the Earth was flat and it was the centre of the cosmos. Despite the popularity of this belief it was never true. Truth cannot be attained though the consensus of the majority.**

So the claim that Islam must be true because it has survived for 1400 years, and that it has many adherents, or the false claim made by Muslims that it is the fastest growing religion are all logical fallacies and non of them prove the truth of Islam. Argumentum ad baculum is the logic of the primitive man where the strongest is always right. Unfortunately, Muslims are among the very few humans who still hold unto this fallacy and believe that since Muhammad managed to subdue his opponents, even through treachery and dastardly acts, he must be from God. Rational people are amazed at this much absurdity but to Muslims winning by bullying constitutes the biggest proof.

The verses 43:41-42 that you quoted above, clearly reveal the narcissistic personality disorder of Muhammad. I am going to send you a digitized copy of my book ***Understanding Muhammad*** **where I have gone in detail analyzing the mind of the Arabian prophet. If you read that book, you will be able to interpret the Qur'an and understand why Muhammad did what he did and said what he said. This book is a psychobiography of Allah's prophet. It gives you an insight into his mind and enables you to understand every action of him and interpret his religion.**

> Now that I am writing to you this last message in this series of my exchanges with you, I want to give you my honest opinion as to why you find yourself in such state of mind as you are in. You were brought up in an environment where many religious views that were expressed before you were irrational. To begin with, you blindly accepted them. However, when the absurdity of some of those views became evident to you instead of probing the truth about whether they were really Islamic or not you started hating Islam. You thus became a reactionary. Now, you have lost your balance and you don't want to hear anything in favor of Islam; you have gone mad in hating it. If you would have managed to study Islam independently through Qur'an and sunnah with an open mind and would have learnt the classical Arabic in which the Qur'an has been expressed, you would have been able to appreciate the sublime, error-free message of God. You wouldn't have, in that case, made such absurd remarks as the ones in which you claim that the Qur'an says that the sun is a lamp etc. nor would you have claimed that the Qur'an says that the sun moves around the earth. You would have understood the right meanings of all such verses as they appear if properly placed in their context. My effort all throughout these exchanges had been to somehow pacify your feelings and bring you back to sanity so that you could correct the wrong you had done.

I agree that I grew up in an environment where lies where thought as truth and I had accepted them for their face value and uncritically. Haven't you? Haven't all the Muslims? Are Muslims allowed a comparative study of all religions and beliefs? You and all Muslims are fed the same lies that I was fed. You know zilch about any other belief system. All you know are the lies of Islam. Censorship does not allow you to learn alternative views and you now make sure others also remain ignorant by becoming part of this machinery of suppression of information.

However, I do not agree with your claim that I have not searched to make sense of what seemed to me senseless. I did that with far more sincerity that you are prepared to do. My apostasy took two years and another two for me to decide to become an instrument of change. I did my homework and I am still doing it. You and I exchanged many ideas. I repeatedly asked you to present your proof. You did your best but as any sensible person can see, your best was not good enough. You and Mr. Ghamidi both know this also. You are intelligent and knowledgeable persons. Of course you know that your arguments were weak. The very fact that you are not publishing this debate in your site and have banned faithfreedom.org in Pakistan is a loud and clear admission that in an arena where logic rules, Islam loses. You accuse me of having lost my balance and being insane, which prevent me from accepting what you claim to be the "out of this world" truth of the Qur'an. This is *ad hominen* and a fallacy. You failed to provide a single proof and now content yourself calling me insane. Let us say you are right. We already agreed that we are writing for the benefit of others. If you are convinced that your logic is superior and that you have managed to prove Islam right, I urge you to publish our debate in your site. People are smart. They can see I am unbalanced and what you say is logical and undeniable. So what are you afraid of? Only by publishing this debate you would show that you believe that you had the upper hand.

However, that was not to be. Now I am begging leave from you. I cannot spend any further time in exchanging messages with you. Let the readers decide what they believe has been the outcome of these exchanges. I pray to God that you come out of this phase of insanity. While you are still in it, there is hardly any communication possible with you. The Qur'an advises me to say "Salam" to people who are hard nuts to crack. That is what I am doing to you now. I thank you for allowing my messages space in your site.

Khalid Zaheer

Farewell my erudite friends. It has been and honor and a pleasure to exchange these notes with you. I must admit that despite our differences of opinions, you have made very positive impression on me and I grew respecting and admiring you more. I have debated with many Muslims but with the exception of few, none were as scholarly as you. Your tone and language and your adherence to decorum, despite being hurt by my relentless pounding on your cherished faith, only demonstrate your greatness.

I also commend you for being an excellent debater. Your problem was not your skills but the indefensible position that you tried to defend. This is like going to war with no ammunitions. You may be the best fighter but if all you have is an air gun, you will not win. Islam gives you nothing but lies. How could you win with sheer lies? In this debate truth has won and this makes both of us victorious.

Unlike you, I am not disappointed that you did not budge from your position. I did not expect such thing. I fully understand your predicament. You depend on Islam for your livelihood. Islam brings you prestige and it places food on your tables. It would be egotistic on my part to ask you to leave Islam. I know the hurdles that you face. The very thought of what would happen to you if you decide to doubt Islam sends shiver down your spines. You will do everything to not see the truth because in your case truth is far more painful than say in my case. I was living in the West, I had my profession. I had many friends who were not Muslims. I could easily make the transition without suffering any consequences. Despite that I fought tooth and nail to cling to this lie. In your cases you would not only lose your jobs, friends, prestige and family but also your lives. This is not what I wish for you.

Let me tell you that there are many who are in your predicament. They know the truth about Islam. Despite that they stay are and continue doing what they do. However, in their hearts they are no longer Muslims. A few of them even wrote to me. I have received emails from enlightened ex-Muslims who have told me about their apostasy while still pretending to be believers. I always tell them to use *kitman* and gradually try to sever their ties to Islam. One such person was a member of an Islamic council like CAIR in a western country. Another was an Imam in a Muslim country and another was a teacher in an Islamic school. I received an email from someone representing a group of people from one of the most cardinal Islamic countries, whose position is so sensitive that I can't even give you a hint. He told me they

fully support FFI and are tying to bring some changes from the top (at great risk to their lives). I am sure there are many more.

Let me quote you part of the testimony of Aisha. This young woman was upset of what I write. This is what she wrote:

I ran into your site six months ago. At first I thought you were absolutely mad and made a vow to study Islam harder and become a better Muslimah. My faith, however, had to be restored because your articles are written in a very powerful and uncompromising way. I decided to show some of your articles, especially about misogyny, to my Imam.

He is not hateful at all and strongly condemns all acts of violence. Even though he is imam and a well-educated man in the Islamic science, I now doubt he has ever read the Quran! He is so peaceful and is often denounced by hard-line Muslims.

When I headed for the mosque, I thought we would just laugh together at your stupidity.

I handed your articles to him. To tell the truth, I expected him to burst out into laughter, but he began to read your writings carefully. When he finished, he told me that he had learnt the truth. My eyes were blank and I barely could respond to him. He then continued. As a matter of fact, he has known that Islam is false for some time. He said he was too old (70 years) to change his life and so he kept on preaching sermons in mosques. Of course, he chose some more or less peaceful verses from the Quran. But it was not all! I will just quote what he said. “I’m old. My life is coming to an end. But you, Aisha, are young. Run, girl, run before it is too late!”

Can you imagine how shocked I was by his words? I’ve mentioned that he is such a nice and lovable man so I simply couldn’t help believing him. I, however, decided to carry out my own research.

I leave you with these notes and wish good health and happiness for both of you. Remember that you don’t have to do anything to leave Islam. All you have to do is to admit to yourself the truth. Leave it at that and do not talk about that to anyone.

Islam’s end is near. This edifice of lies will fall in our own lifetime. If we are not killed in an Armageddon, caused by Islamic madness, (Pakistan is in grave danger. Your atomic bomb could spell the end of your ersatz country) we will see the end of Islam in our own lifetime. This is not a prophecy. I am only foreseeing the future the way an economist forecasts the future of the stocks. The writings are all on the wall. Islam is about to fall. What I can’t tell you is whether this would be a violent fall, in which billions would perish, or it would be a peaceful one, collapsing from within, the way communism did. We are doing our best at FFI to make Islam implode, rather than explode. We are puncturing holes in it and deflating it. We hope that it would have a peaceful end and not a violent one. For that, of course, Muslims must wake up and end this insanity.

Within the coming quarter of century or so, Islam will be eradicated. Many wonder how a religion, believed so fiercely by 1.2 billion people could disappear in such a short time. To these "unbelievers" I say, 1.2 billion people are 1.2 billion individuals. It does not take centuries to make an individual see the truth. For some it may take days and for others it may take years, but the bottom line is that no one wants to continue fooling him or herself and live in a lie. Once Muslims are shown the truth, they will leave Islam. There would be resistance at first, but at the end truth will prevail. Therefore our challenge is to make the truth reach to this billion plus individuals. This is a big challenge because the bulk of Muslims are poor and uneducated. They are cut off from the rest of the world and are cocooned in lies. Nonetheless, technology is coming to our aid. It is difficult to keep the light out when the sun is at its zenith. Those who learn the truth will pass it to others and this message will spread exponentially, like wildfire.

We actually do not have to reach to every Muslim. Once a couple of hundred of million Muslims leave Islam, the rest will follow. Islam is based on hypes. It's lies such as "Islam is the fastest growing religion", and "the Qur'an contains science" that fills Muslims with pride and bravado. Once the reverse is demonstrated to be true, this house of cards will collapse at once. To bring Islam down is easier than anyone can imagine.

You played a magnificent role in our struggle to find the truth. I sincerely thank you for your courage, your sincerity and your perseverance. You demonstrated to be true scholars and real gentlemen. I hope that you would join us soon. Good people such as you don't belong to Islam. Islam is made by a psychopath terrorist and is only good for terrorists. You do not belong to this benighted crowd.

This debate will be available as an e-book for free download and in print, at cost.

The lies of Islam must be deflated with truth. Only truth will save mankind from its looming doom. I don't know whether it is too late already or not, but we must try. I urge all those who read this debate, to send it to others and encourage Muslims to read it. Truth will set them free and will bring peace to the world. So let the truth spread.

I remain respectfully yours,

Ali Sina

1

(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftnref1) Sahih Bukhari 9.140

2

(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftnref2) Sahih Bukhari Volume 7, Book 62, Number 64

3

(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftnref3) Sahih Muslim Book 008, Number 3310:

4

(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftnref4) Sahih Bukhari 5.234

5(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftnref5) *Sunan Abu-Dawud Book 41, Number 4915, also Number 4916 and Number 4917*

6(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftnref6) Sahih Bukhari Volume 7, Book 62, Number 90

7

(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftnref7) Sahih Muslim Book 008, Number 3311

8(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftnref8) Sahih Bukhari Volume 8, Book 73, Number 151

9

(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftnref9) Sahih Bukhari *Volume* 5, Book 58, Number 245

10

(https://www.faithfreedom.org/debates/Ghamidip26.htm#_ftnref10) The Bible, The Qur'an and Science (1978)